
Effective from 10 September 2013

The CIA comprises of two adjacent areas that have different characteristics. The North Street section places a greater emphasis on seeking to prevent public nuisance whilst the Cannon street area has more of an emphasis on preventing crime and disorder.



# North Street (From the junction with Ashton Road to the junction with Greville Street) Reason for Assessment

This stretch of North Street is characterised by a varied range of food, drink and entertainment offers leading to a busy area, which has resulted in anti- social behaviour and loss of amenity for local residents. The CIA will help to protect residential amenity from being harmed by public nuisance and will, when triggered by the receipt of relevant representations, give rise to a presumption of refusal of applications for the grant of new premises licences or for significant variation of existing licenses particularly where such proposals could increase anti-social behaviour. The CIA is not designed to preclude high-quality food-led developments that are commensurate with the community's longer term ambitions for the area.

Cannon Street Area (Cannon Street, North Street (from junction of Grenville Street to junction with Cannon Street), East Street (from junction with Little Paradise to junction with West Street/Sheene Road and West Street (from junction with East Street to junction with Victor Road)

#### **Reason for Assessment**

The Cannon Street locality comprises of a close concentration of late night 'destination' bars, take away food outlets and premises supplying alcohol for consumption away from the premises (off-licences) that has resulted in a cumulative adverse impact on the promotion of the licensing objectives, in particular those concerning the prevention of crime and disorder and the prevention of public nuisance. The CIA, when triggered by the receipt of relevant representations, will apply to applications for the grant of new premises

licences or for significant variation of existing licenses and is intended to prevent the further proliferation of the type of premises on offer in this locality. In order to rebut the presumption of refusal applicants for licences will be expected to demonstrate through the operating schedule accompanying the application that what they propose is a significantly different type of operation than that which is currently on offer; a proposal that will result in a greater variety of range of venues and that it is demonstrated will not add to the problems currently being experienced which this policy aims to address. The CIA is intended to be strictly applied, i.e. to refuse applications with operating schedules that could enable a venue to operate as an additional late night alcohol led venue, or as a late night food take away outlet, or as an "off-licence".

# **Policy Consultation Results**

The consultation was run between the dates of 1 August 2019 and 14 November 2019. There were a total of 78 questions. A total of 156 full responses were received. This is a low number of responses.

An additional 45 written responses were also received separate to the survey. Not all of these related to the North Street or Cannon Street CIA. For the North Street CIA, we received an additional 19 emails related to the CIA, and 70 responses that came from partially answered surveys. For the Cannon Street we received 17 comments in emails, and 69 responses from partially answered surveys.

The number of people who answered the majority of questions about North Street was 31-32, for Cannon Street was 25.

It is important when considering these results that those who care more about the issues are more likely to answer the survey, whereas those who have no strong opinion are less likely to respond. This means that the sample may be biased, which is especially important to bear in mind given the low number of respondents.

The small number of respondents means it is not possible to draw meaningful conclusions from these results. These figures cannot be seen as representative for the population of Bristol or the locality.

# **Summary of survey results**

The majority of respondents to the survey agreed that the CIA should remain in North Street and Cannon Street. When adding in the partial and written responses to the data the majority is still for keeping the CIA. This is the only question where the partial and written responses are considered.

When asked how often they visited the area for a night out, most (50% North Street, 58% Cannon Street) said that they have not used this area for a night out in the past 12 months. When asked why, the main reasons were that they do not live in that area, and that they prefer to go to other areas.

For both areas, litter was seen as being a serious/moderate issue, and the other issues were only considered a minor problem or not a problem.


When asked about the current number of venues on North Street, live music venues were thought to be too few, although there is only a difference of three people between this and saying there are about the right number. In most cases the respondents believed there were about the right number of venues. For Cannon Street, most venue types were thought to have the right amount, although there was no strong majority on any of the options due to the lack of responses. Respondents said that there were too few family orientated venues.

The majority of respondents said that they would agree with most type of new venues opening on North Street. The exceptions are fast food establishments and off licences. The same is true for Cannon Street, although nightclubs are split three ways between agreeing, disagreeing and neither agreeing or disagreeing on whether a new one would be wanted on Cannon Street.

When asked about opening hours, the majority of respondents said they were about right for both North Street and Cannon Street.


# **Analysis of Full Survey Results**

When asked about whether we should continue with the CIA for Bedminster and Southville, the majority of people agreed that it should be kept. The below graph shows a combination of the survey (full and partial) responses, and comments from emails.




Total respondents = 156 full responses (7 did not answer (NS) & 8 did not answer (CS)), 70 (NS) & 69 (CS) partial survey responses, and 19 (NS) & 17 (CS) email responses.


When looking at just the full survey responses, it shows that a larger majority of responses agreed with the proposal. There may be an element of sample bias, where the survey was seen as too long by some respondents and so their views would not be included in the full survey analysis.



Total respondents = 156

The majority of respondents agreed with the proposal.





The two groups with the most respondents were a member of the public (60) and a local business owner with a premises licence (52). 50% of the members of the public agree or strongly agree with the proposal, as opposed to 33% of local business owners. 35% of local business owners disagreed or strongly disagreed with the proposal, but the difference between those who agreed and those who disagreed was 1.

# North Street CIA:

Row Labels	BS1	BS10	BS11	BS13	BS14	BS15	BS16	BS2	BS3	BS30	BS31	BS32	BS39	BS4	BS5	BS6	BS7	BS8	BS9	LS19	Total
No answer	2			1								1									4
Strongly Agree	4		1	5	1		1	1	4				_	4	2	6	1	6	3		39
Agree	8		1			_	3	2	3	1				1	4	4		5	2	1	35
Neither agree or																					
disagree	13	2					2	3	5		1			3	3	3		4	4		43
Disagree	3							3				_	1	1	1	3	1	2			15
Strongly disagree	8					1	1	2		1					1	2	1				17
(blank)																					
<b>Grand Total</b>	38	2	2	6	1	1	7	11	12	2	1	1	1	9	11	18	3	17	9	1	153

# Cannon Street CIA

Row Labels	BS1	BS10	BS11	BS13	BS14	BS15	BS16	BS2	BS3	BS30	BS31	BS32	BS39	BS4	BS5	BS6	BS7	BS8	BS9	LS19	Total
No answer	2			1								1									4
Strongly Agree	4		2	5	1		1	1	5					4	2	6	1	6	4		42
Agree	7					_	3	2	2					1	4	3		5	1	1	29
Neither agree or																					
disagree	14	2					2	4	4		1			3	3	4		5	4		46
Disagree	3							2	1				1	1	1	3	1	1			14
Strongly disagree	8					1	1	2		1					1	2	1				17
(blank)																					
<b>Grand Total</b>	38	2	2	6	1	1	7	11	12	1	1	1	1	9	11	18	3	17	9	1	152


As can be seen, BS3, the area that is most affected by the CIA, has only received 12 responses in total. This means that this area was not well represented in this survey.







Total respondents = 156


It can be seen that the majority of respondents don't go for a night out on North Street or Cannon Street.







The majority of respondents did not use this area for a night out – this was common across all respondent types. Local business owners with a premises licence are more likely to go out monthly or more than once a month.








The main reasons why people don't go to these areas are that they don't live in the area, or that they prefer other areas. This makes sense as the majority of respondents were not from the BS3 area.






If you break down the survey responses by type of person, can see that the two groups with the most respondents: a member of the public and a local business owner with a premises licence, are mostly aligned.

#### **North Street issues**


The serious issue is litter. Noise and the other reasons are not seen as such a serious issue.



Total respondents = 31 to 32


#### **Cannon Street issues**

Similarly to North Street, litter is a serious issue, but noise etc. are not seen as serious an issue.



#### North Street, current number of venues


Most places there are about the right number, or too few. Fast food establishments and off licences are the venues most likely to be said to have too many.



Total respondents = 31 to 32

# Cannon Street, current number of venues.


There are thought to be the right amount of most things except for family orientated venues.



Total respondents = 24 to 25


#### North Street new venues

Most people would agree with new venues being opened on North Street, the only exceptions being off licences and fast food establishments.




# **Cannon Street new venues**

People would be ok with new venues, although nightclubs, off licences and fast food establishments split the vote (due to a small sample size).




# **North Street opening hours**

Most people believe that places close at about the right time.



# **Cannon Street opening hours**

Most places are open until about the right time.



# **Appendix 1 – North Street**

Total respondents: 155/156 (inc. no answer)

The number of people who responded to this question:

Members of the public = 12 people

Local business owners with a premises licence = 12 people


This is a very small sample size, and cannot be seen as representative of the population of Bristol or the locality.


# Question 41 graphs by type of person


In general, the majority of the public did not believe that noise was an issue, the exceptions being noise from outside fast food establishments and deliveries to fast food places or licenced premises where 42% said it was not a problem. For noise from delivers 33% said it was a minor problem. For outside fast food establishments 25% (3 people) said it was a serious problem.


Local business owners also mostly said that noise was not at all a problem or a minor problem.


Thinking about this stretch of North Street, how much of a problem do you think the following is?

















# Question 42 graphs by type of person


In general, both members of the public and local business owners viewed these fears as either not at all a problem or a minor problem.

Thinking about this stretch of North Street, how much of a problem do you think the following is?












# Question 43 graphs by type of person

Members of the public mostly say that litter is either a minor or not a problem. Local business owners are more likely to think that there is an issue, 42% said that noise outside fast food establishments was a moderate problem, and 25% (3 people) said it noise from deliveries was a serious problem.

Thinking about this stretch of North Street, how much of a problem do you think the following is?









# Question 44 graphs by type of person


Food orientated bars, off licences, and fast food establishments were said to have about the right number by both groups. Both groups also said that there were too few live music venues.


Members of the public said that there were too few traditional pubs and family orientated venues. 42% of local business owners said that both types had the right number of venues; however 42% also said that there were too few family orientated venues. Nightclubs, restaurants and late night alcohol/music orientated bars were very close between the options of too few and about right, and the small number of responses means it is not possible to draw reliable conclusions.


Thinking about this stretch of North Street, what is your opinion on the number of:


























# Question 45 graphs by type of person


The majority of members of the public said that all opening hours were about right. The larger proportion of local business owners agreed that the opening hours were about right, but were more likely to think that the close too early.


How suitable do you feel the opening hours of food and alcohol outlets are for North Street?














# Question 46 graphs by type of person


Traditional public houses, restaurants, live music venues, family orientated venues and food orientated bars have support from both members of the public and local business owners with the majority agreeing with new premises being opened. With late night bars and nightclubs, members of the public are more likely to agree than disagree, but with no large majority. Local business owners have a majority agreeing with new nightclubs and late night bars. For off licences and fast food establishments, members of the public disagree with new premises open.


To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following new premises being opened in North Street?























# **Appendix 2- Cannon Street**

The number of people who responded to this question:

Members of the public = 11 people


Local business owners with a premises licence = 8 people


This is a very small sample size, and given the size we are unable to draw any meaningful conclusions in the comparisons between members of the public and local business owners with a premises licence.


# Question 48 graphs by type of person


Total respondents: 155/156 (inc. no answer) this is a very small sample size.


Thinking about the Cannon Street Area, how much of a problem do you think the following is?

















# Question 49 graphs by type of person


Total respondents: 155/156 (inc. no answer) This is a very small sample size.

Thinking about the Cannon Street Area, how much of a problem do you think the following is?











# Question 50 graphs by type of person

Total respondents: 155/156 (inc. no answer)

Thinking about the Cannon Street Area, how much of a problem do you think the following is?










# Question 51 graphs by type of person


Total respondents: 155/156 (inc. no answer)


Thinking about the Cannon Street Area, what is your opinion on the number of:


























# Question 52 graphs by type of person


Total respondents: 155/156 (inc. no answer)


How suitable do you feel the opening hours of food and alcohol outlets are for the Cannon Street Area?











# Question 53 graphs by type of person

Total respondents: 155/156 (inc. no answer)

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following new premises being opened in the Cannon Street Area?

